

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/764,999	01/26/2004	Yuwa Tanaka	105-81 6763			
23869 7	590 06/28/2006		EXAMINER			
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE			LEPISTO, RYAN A			
SYOSSET, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2883			
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

on, e 3) a e following	
ater. In no	
тнім TWO	
n fee have under 37 et forth in (b) uce any	
of Appeal Notice of ce of	
ıse	i
issues for	
OL-324).	
anceling	
ation of how	
e entered cessary	
<u>not</u> be provide a	
because:	

Applicant(s)	
TANAKA ET AL.	
Art Unit	
2883	
	TANAKA ET AL. Art Unit

Advisory Action	10/764,999 TANAKA ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan Lepisto	2883				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
HE REPLY FILED 19 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially i corresponding number of finally re	OTE below); reducing or simplifying				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s). 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co:					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)[the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an exp	planation of how			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 			ince because:			
13.						

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060626

Applicant's arguments filed 19 June 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the argument that Roth does not teach an inner piece located within the connector housing: The claim language is not clear that this a limitation of the invention. Since the claim is written with one long paragraph instead of different structure limitations separated from each other by line spaces and indentations it reads on the ferrule being located within said connector housing in the vicinity of the connection position. It is not clear that this limitation refers to the inner piece. Even if the claim limitations were clear, Roth does teach the inner piece (38) located within the connector housing (20). On column 13 lines 53-55, Roth states, "adapter subassembly (24, which is the inner piece (38) and rear part (40)) is mounted within motherboard housing (20) and inner housing insert (22)...". This clearly reads on the applicant's interpretation of the claim.

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fort